[28th November 1928

(b) whether it is one of the proposals before the Government that the Commissioner need not be paid any special allowances for inspection of motor buses ?

A.—(a) & (b) The Government are not prepared to disclose at present the details of the proposals now under their consideration.

Present pay of Sergeants and Sub-Inspectors.

* 760-E *Q.*—Mr. K. V. R. Swami : Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(a) what was the pay recommended by the Police Commission of 1902 for Sergeants and Sub-Inspectors in Madras and other places ;

(b) what is the pay that they now actually draw ; and

(c) whether the minimum pay of the Sub-Inspectors is less than what was fixed in 1902 ?

A.—(a) The Police Commission of 1902–03 recommended pay for Sergeants and Sub-Inspectors as follows :—

Sergeants—Rs. 80—5/2—110 in Madras and Rs. 80, 90, 100 in the mufassal.

Sub-Inspectors—Rs. 75, 100, 125 in Madras and Rs. 50, 60, 70, 80 in the mufassal.

(b)

	Madras City.	Mufassal.
Sergeants.	Rs. 110, 122½, 135 and 1 on Rs. 145	Rs. 100, 112½, 125
Sub-Inspectors.	Rs. 85, 100, 125, 135, 150.	Rs. 60, 75, 100, 110, 125.

(c) No.

Mr. K. V. R. Swami :—" Do the Government consider that the duties of sergeants are more onerous than those of Sub-Inspectors ? "

The hon. Khan Bahadur Sir Muhammad Usman Sahib Bahadur :—" They have different duties to discharge."

Mr. Abdul Hameed Khan :—" May I know how they are different ? "

The hon. Khan Bahadur Sir Muhammad Usman Sahib Bahadur :—" I want notice in order to give exact details of their work."

Dr. B. S. Mallayya :—" Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to say whether the sergeants come on duty only after sun-set and before sun-rise ? "

The hon. Khan Bahadur Sir Muhammad Usman Sahib Bahadur :—" No, Sir."

Proposed pay of Sergeants and Sub-Inspectors.

* 760-F *Q.*—Mr. K. V. R. Swami : Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state what is the proposed pay for Sergeants and Sub-Inspectors under the contemplated scheme of Mr. Cunningham for the mufassal and for the City ?

28th November 1928]

A.—Mr. Cunningham's proposals are under the consideration of the Government. They are not prepared to disclose the details at this stage.

Transfer to the mufassal of Sub-Inspectors of the City Police.

* 760-G *Q.*—Mr. K. V. R. SWAMI: Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Commissioner of Police has recommended the transfer to the mufassal of several Sub-Inspectors of the City Police;

(*b*) whether they have been recruited under the City Police Act for service in the City;

(*c*) whether they are on a different scale of pay as compared with that of the mufassal Sub-Inspectors;

(*d*) whether any of these Sub-Inspectors have been recommended for transfer as a punishment on any specific charge; and

(*e*) if not, how the Government justify under the Fundamental Rules the reduction in their pay?

A.—(*a*), (*b*) & (*d*) The Government have no information; they have called for it.

(*c*) The pay of each grade of City Sub-Inspector of Police has been fixed at Rs. 25 more than that of the corresponding grade of mufassal Sub-Inspector.

(*e*) The answer to this clause depends on the information called for in respect of clause (*d*).

Selection of Sub-Inspectors of Police by the Commissioner.

* 760-H *Q.*—Mr. P. BHAKTAVATSULU NAYUDU: Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that out of 21 candidates recently selected by the Commissioner of Police, Madras, for the posts of Sub-Inspectors of Police, nine non-Brahmans, six Brahmans, two Christians, three members of depressed class and one Muslim have been taken;

(*b*) whether it is a fact that to give its due share to every community, the Government have directed in their G.O. Mis. No. 747, dated 3rd September 1928, that future recruitment in the services shall be made in the following proportion and order (i.e.), out of every twelve posts, five non-Brahmans (Hindus), two Brahmans, two Muhammadans, two Anglo-Indians and Christians including Europeans and one from the others (including depressed class);

(*c*) if the answer to the above is in the affirmative, why only one Muslim was selected for the Sub-Inspector's post by the Commissioner of Police; and

(*d*) whether, in view of this apparent injustice done to the Muslims, the Government propose to hold an enquiry into the matter and redress the grievances?

A.—(*a*) The Government are not aware whether or no these figures are correct. If the hon. Member desires it the information he gives in his question will be verified.

(*b*), (*c*) & (*d*) G.O. No. 747, Public, dated 3rd September 1928, does not refer to recruitment for the Madras Police Service. The rules for that service have not yet been issued.